

# A GENERAL VERSION OF THE NULLSTELLENSATZ FOR ARBITRARY FIELDS

JUAN D. VÉLEZ, DANNY DE JESÚS GÓMEZ-RAMÍREZ, AND EDISSON GALLEGO

ABSTRACT. We prove a general version of Bezout’s form of the Nullstellensatz for arbitrary fields. The corresponding sufficient and necessary condition only involves the local existence of multi-valued roots for each of the polynomials belonging to the ideal in consideration. Finally, this version implies the standard Nullstellensatz when the coefficient field is algebraically closed.

Mathematical Subject Classification (2010): 14A10, 13A15

Keywords: Nullstellensatz, arbitrary fields

## INTRODUCTION

A fundamental result in algebraic geometry is the well-known Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz, which describes the interrelations between an ideal  $I$  in a polynomial ring over an algebraically closed field  $k$  and the corresponding ideal of all polynomials vanishing on the zeroes of  $I$ , i.e.  $I(V(I)) = \text{Rad}(I)$  [4, Ch. 1]. Besides, the Nullstellensatz has also a ‘weak’ form (or Bezout version) which states that under the former hypothesis an ideal  $I$  in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  contains 1 if and only if there is no common zero for all the polynomials of  $I$  in  $k^n$ . In addition, the standard version of the Nullstellensatz can be easily deduced from the weak form using the Rabinowitsch’s trick [6].

In the literature one can find several kinds of generalizations of both forms of this seminal result, as for example, a noncommutative version due to S. A. Amitsur [1]; the work of W. D. Brownawell describing a corresponding “pure power product version”, which relates in a sophisticated way the exponents emerging from the ‘radical’ condition stated in ‘homogeneous’ forms of the Nullstellensatz [2]. In addition, the main result of T. Krick et al. in [5] offers sharp bounds for the degree and the height of the polynomials involved in the arithmetic (weak) form of the Nullstellensatz, and the work of L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld proves more sophisticated geometric versions of it involving ideal sheaves, among others [3]. Finally, from the Artin-Tate lemma it can be derived a more general form of the Nullstellensatz for arbitrary fields, i.e.,

the quotient of a polynomial ring in finitely many variables over a field  $L$  by a maximal ideal  $m$ , is a finite field extension of  $L$ .<sup>1</sup>

Now, let us assume that  $k$  is an arbitrary ideal. Then, what will be the natural condition for  $I$  characterizing the fact that  $V(I)$  is non-empty?

Surprisingly, none of the results above offers an answer to this elementary question, whose answer can be considered genuinely as a formal generalization of the (weak) Nullstellensatz for arbitrary fields.

So, in this short communication we prove in a completely elementary way that the global non-emptiness of the zero-locus of an ideal of polynomials over any field is equivalent to the local non-emptiness of the zero-locus of any of its elements, which would seem to be, in general, a strictly weaker condition. This result would offer a natural extension of a general condition characterizing the global non-emptiness of the zero-locus of ideals independently of the coefficient field  $k$ .

## 1. MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 1.1** (Bezout's Form of the Nullstellensatz for arbitrary fields). *Let  $k$  be a field (not necessarily closed) and  $I \subset k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  an ideal. Then for each polynomial  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in I$ , there exists  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$  such that  $f(a) = 0$ , if and only if the algebraic set determined by  $I$ ,  $V(I)$ , is non-empty.*

*Proof.* Case I)  $k$  is algebraically closed: this corresponds to the classic version of the (weak) Nullstellensatz.

Case II)  $k$  is not algebraically closed. In this case we state that given polynomials  $f_1$  and  $f_2 \in I$ , there is another polynomial  $p(f_1, f_2) \in I$  such that  $p(f_1, f_2)(a) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f_1(a) = f_2(a) = 0$ .

To see this, let  $l(T) = T^n + a_1T^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \in k[T]$  be any monic non constant polynomial without roots in  $k$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} P(f_1, f_2)(X) &= f_2^n(X) \left( \left( \frac{f_1(X)}{f_2(X)} \right)^n + a_1 \left( \frac{f_1(X)}{f_2(X)} \right)^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \right) \\ &= f_1^n + a_1 f_2 f_1^{n-1} + \dots + a_k f_1^{n-k} f_2^k + \dots + a_n f_2^n \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $P(f_1, f_2) \in I$ . Let us prove that  $P(f_1, f_2)(a) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f_1(a) = f_2(a) = 0$ . Suppose  $P(f_1, f_2)(a) = 0$ . Then  $f_2(a) \neq 0$ , since otherwise  $f_1(a)/f_2(a)$  would be a root of  $l(T)$ . Hence,  $f_2(a) = 0$ , and since  $P(f_1, f_2)(a) = 0$ , it follows that  $f_1^n(a) = f_1(a) = 0$ .

The reciprocal is clear, since  $f_1(a) = f_2(a) = 0$  implies  $p(f_1, f_2)(a) = 0$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This is an elementary consequence of the Artin-Tate lemma and the Steinitz theorem. See for example [https://commalg.subwiki.org/wiki/Weak\\_nullstellensatz\\_for\\_arbitrary\\_fields](https://commalg.subwiki.org/wiki/Weak_nullstellensatz_for_arbitrary_fields)

Finally, let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be arbitrary generators of  $I$ . We inductively define  $p_1 = p(f_1, f_2), \dots, p_r = p(f_r, p_{r-1})$ . Clearly  $p_r(a) = 0$  if and only if  $f_1(a) = \dots = f_n(a) = 0$ . Since  $p_n \in I$ , the hypothesis guarantees the existence of  $a \in k^n$  such that  $p_n(a) = 0$ . Thus,  $f_1(a) = \dots = f_n(a) = 0$ , whereas it follows clearly that  $g(a) = 0$ , for all  $g \in I$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.2.** If  $k$  is an algebraically closed field, the hypothesis of the theorem are satisfied under the standard assumption that  $I \neq R$  and consequently it generalizes the classic (weak) Nullstellensatz. Effectively, if  $f$  is a non-constant polynomial in  $I$ , let us see that the zero-locus of  $f$  should be non-empty. So, after a standard change of coordinates it is possible to write  $f$  as a monic polynomial in one of the variables, let's say  $X_n$ . Hence we may assume that  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  can be written in the form

$$X_n^r + a_1(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})X_n^{r-1} + \dots + a_r(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}).$$

Substituting  $X_1 = X_2 = \dots = X_{n-1} = 0$  we obtain the polynomial  $X_n^r + a_1(0)X_n^{r-1} + \dots + a_r(0)$ . Since we are assuming  $k$  is algebraically closed, it has a zero  $c \in k$ . Thus, in these new coordinates  $f$  has a zero  $(0, \dots, 0, c) \in k^n$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank to the Universidad Nacional Colombia in Medellín and to the University of Osnabrück for all the support. Finally, D. Arlen de Jesús Gómez-Ramírez was supported by the Vienna Science and Technology Fund (WWTF) as part of the Vienna Research Group 12-004.

#### REFERENCES

1. Amitsur, S. *A generalization of hilbert's nullstellensatz*. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **8**(4), 649–656 (1957)
2. Brownawell, W.D., et al. *A pure power product version of the hilbert nullstellensatz*. The Michigan Mathematical Journal **45**(3), 581–597 (1998)
3. Ein, L., Lazarsfeld, R. *A geometric effective nullstellensatz*. Inventiones mathematicae **137**(2), 427–448 (1999)
4. Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer, New York (1977)
5. Krick, T., Pardo, L.M., Sombra, M. *Sharp estimates for the arithmetic nullstellensatz*. Duke Mathematical Journal **109**(3), 521–598 (2001)
6. Rabinowitsch, J. *Zum hilbertschen nullstellensatz*. Mathematische Annalen **102**(1), 520–520 (1930)

UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, ESCUELA DE MATEMÁTICAS, CALLE 59A No 63 - 20, NÚCLEO EL VOLADOR, MEDELLÍN, COLOMBIA.

VIENNA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, INSTITUTE OF DISCRETE MATHEMATICS AND GEOMETRY, WIEDNER HAUPTSTAE 8-10, 1040, VIENNA, AUSTRIA.

UNIVERSITY OF ANTIOQUIA, CALLE 67 # 53-108, MEDELLÍN, COLOMBIA

*E-mail address:* [jdvelez@unal.edu.co](mailto:jdvelez@unal.edu.co)

*E-mail address:* [daj.gomezramirez@gmail.com](mailto:daj.gomezramirez@gmail.com)

*E-mail address:* [egalleg@gmail.com](mailto:egalleg@gmail.com)